

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/891,976	06/26/2001	Hamzeh Karami	JWB-2001-10-P	4396
75	590 08/25/2003			
James W. Badie			EXAMINER	
	te Building, Suite 4710		GRAY, LINDA LAMEY	
350 Fifth Avent New York, NY			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
		,	1734	
		•	DATE MAILED: 08/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	licant(s)	-
	09/891,976	KARAMI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
•	Linda L Gray	1734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on th cov r she	et with th correspondenc ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, non. a reply within the statutory minimum period will apply and will expire SIX (6 statute, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timel) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	30 June 2003 and 03 Jul	<u>y 2003</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice up	illowance except for forma nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	l matters, prosecution as to th 5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the applic			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	ndrawn from consideration	1.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected. —			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a Application Papers	and/or election requiremen	t.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 July 2003</u> is/are	: a)□ accepted or b)□ obje	ected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	= : :		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examin	er.
If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received	in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internations * See the attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2((a)).	Stage
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	·		application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	*		
Attachment(s)	-		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9483) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No. 	B) 5) Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(ce of Informal Patent Application (PTor: r:	
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Offi	ice Action Summary	Part o	f Paper No. 6

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 33 are indefinite in that the claims recite severing "individual folded wing portions" in the last step of the claim. The specification defines the folded wing portions as each having a wing tab. However, claims 1 and 33 only require one wing tab to be applied to the web such that only one of the severed individual folded wing portions will have a wing tab.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-33 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 4. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, Applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).
- **5.** The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

- **claim 1**: the prior art of record to Keighley et al. (US 5,683,533) do not teach attaching a plurality of landing zones in spaced apart relationship on one surface of the web, that the attached wing tabs are on the edge of the web, that the fold is a Z-fold configuration, and forming a releasable bond on the longitudinal fold where landing zones are defined in the specification as strips of material having adhesive thereon; and,
- **claim 19**: the prior art of record to Keighley et al. do not that the attached wing tabs are on the edge of the web, that the fold is a Z-fold configuration, and forming a releasable bond on the longitudinal fold where landing zones are defined in the specification as strips of material having adhesive thereon.
- Also, **claim 1**: while Pozniak et al. (US 6,579,275 B1) teach a z-folded wing portions 60 having wing tab areas at 68 on the edge of portions 60, of a panty, Pozniak et al. do not teach a method of making the portions including feeding at least one wing-making material, attaching a plurality of landing zones in space apart relationship on a surface of the material, longitudinally folding the material, forming a releasable bond on the fold, feeding the chassis of the panty, and severing portions 60 from the material; and,
- **claim 19**: while Pozniak et al. teach a z-folded wing portions 60 having wing tab areas at 68 on the edge of portions 60, of a panty, Pozniak et al. do not teach a method of making the portions including feeding at least one wing-making material, longitudinally folding the material, forming a releasable bond on the fold, feeding the chassis of the panty, and severing portions 60 from the material.

Response

6. This Office action is non-final in that a rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, was inadvertently omitted from the Office action of 6-4-03.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Examiner Linda L. Gray at (703) 308-1093, Monday-Friday from 6:30 am to 3:30 pm. The necessary fax numbers are (703) 872-9310 and (703) 872-9311.

Ilg 2003 August 20, 2003

LINDA GRAY
PRIMARY EXAMINER